

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,579	11/21/2003	Yuji Sezai	110537.01	8556
25944	7590 04/07/2005 .		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BI	ERRIDGE, PLC	NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2832	
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Addison O	10/717,579	SEZAI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	·	·
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	
	na/or ciccaon requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	
	io Examinor. Note the attached	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority docur	manta haya haan rassiyad	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority docur		polication No. 09/944 590
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
application from the International Bu	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/2003.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hisano [US 3,371,301].

Hisano disclose a communication transformer having a magnetic core structure, wherein the magnetic core structure comprising two core elements [1, 1', figures 2 and 7], each core element comprising:

- a bottom plate [figures 2 and 7];
- a central leg [3]; and
- an out leg [figures 2 and 7] rising from the bottom plate surrouding at least two side of the central leg in the first direction separated by a predetermined space.

wherein the magnetic core structure comprises 51.75-53.35 mol% Fe₂O₃, 20-25.75 mol% ZnO and the remainder is Mn, which is 22.5-27.4 mol%.

The taught ranges overlap the claimed ranges. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103, *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). Since the taught composition overlaps the claimed compositions, one of ordinary skill in the art would

expect the taught composition to inherently have a total harmonic distortion at 5 kHz which overlaps the claimed range, absent any showing to the contrary. The reference suggests the claimed cores and compositions.

The specific use of the communication transformer in an xDSL circuit would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environment.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hisano in view of Matsumura [JP 55-113308].

Hisano disclose a communication transformer having a magnetic core structure, wherein the magnetic core structure comprising two core elements [1, 1', figures 2 and 7], each core element comprising:

- a bottom plate [figures 2 and 7];
- a central leg [3]; and
- an outer leg [figures 2 and 7] rising from the bottom plate and surrounding at least two side of the central leg in the first direction separated by a predetermined space.

wherein the magnetic core structure comprises 51.75-53.35 mol% Fe₂O₃, 20-25.75 mol% ZnO and the remainder is Mn, which is 22.5-27.4 mol%.

The taught ranges overlap the claimed ranges. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103, *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). Since the taught composition overlaps the claimed compositions, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the taught composition to inherently have a total harmonic distortion at 5 kHz which

overlaps the claimed range, absent any showing to the contrary. The reference suggests the claimed cores and compositions.

The specific use of the communication transformer in an xDSL circuit would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environment.

Hisano discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific gaps.

Matsumura discloses a magnetic core structure for an induction device having two core elements [A, B], each core element comprising:

- bottom plate [1];
- a central leg [3];
- an outer leg [2] rising from the bottom plate and surrounding at least two side of the central leg in the first direction separated by a predetermined space;
 - gaps [G1, G2] formed between the central legs and the outer legs of the core elements.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gaps design of Matsumura in the core structure of Hisano for the purpose of controlling the magnetic flux.

The specific height/space/length of the gaps would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of adjusting the magnetic flux.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,579

Art Unit: 2832

- Meuche et al. [US 6,696,913]; Kuroshima et al. [US 6,617,948]; Hoffman et al. [US 6,501,362]; Holdahl et al. [US 6,483,412]; Inoue [US 6,423,243]; Inoue et al. [US 6,217,789];

Usui et al. [US 5,912,609] and Heringer et al. [US 5,489,884].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 11N

Tuylu T. Nguylu

Page 5